

REMARKS

This case has been carefully reviewed and analyzed in view of the Official Action dated May 20, 2004.

The Examiner has rejected claims 1-5 as failing to define the invention in the manner required by 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph. Claims 1-5 have been canceled and replaced with new claims 6-10 in order to overcome the rejection. However, if the new claims still do not comply with the requirement, an Examiner's amendment is earnestly solicited.

It is now believed that the subject Patent Application has been placed in condition for examination, and such action is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

SIGNATURE

Ming-Chieh Hsu

August 18, 2004